

Editor's and Revisor's Notes (14)

scda_30

HISTORICAL AND STATUTORY NOTES

2015 Electronic Update

1995 Legislation

Section 19 of [Stats.1995, c. 854](#) (S.B.1011), provides:

“This act does not apply to any urban water management plan due before January 1, 1996.”

Section 1 of [Stats.2001, c. 320](#) (S.B.672), provides:

“The Legislature finds and declares all of the following:

“(a) The Department of Water Resources, through its contracts for delivery of water from the State Water Project, has established water entitlement objectives for approximately 4,200,000 acre feet.

“(b) Municipal, agricultural, and environmental water needs have increased beyond levels anticipated in the California Water Plan and the State Water Project has not developed water projects that will yield the quantity of water established as water entitlement objectives.

“(c) The health, safety, and well-being of the people of California will best be served by meeting the municipal, agricultural, and environmental water needs of each hydrologic region to the maximum extent practicable without diminishing the resources of other regions that are necessary to meet the present and future municipal, agricultural, and environmental needs of those regions, and while recognizing the continuing need in the foreseeable future to move surplus supplies between regions in order to meet the municipal, agricultural, and environmental needs of the people of California.

“(d) The health, safety, and well-being of the people of the State of California will best be served by employing current and developing water treatment and conservation technologies and by implementing the principles set forth in the Cobey-Porter Saline Water Conservation Law (Chapter 9 (commencing with [Section 12945](#)) of [Part 6 of Division 6 of the Water Code](#)) to the maximum extent practicable.”

2001 Legislation

Governor Davis issued the following signing message regarding [Stats.2001, c. 320](#) (S.B.672):

“To the Members of the California Legislature:

“I am signing Senate Bill 672 because the identification of water recycling and reclamation technologies could expand local water supplies and decrease conflicts over regional water needs.

“However, although this bill does not contain a state-mandated cost disclaimer, it is my expectation that the bill will not result in any state-mandated costs because local water agencies have the authority to charge fees.

“Sincerely,

“GRAY DAVIS”